# Selection of Gear Manufacturing Process Using MCDM-TOPSIS Approach

*Sunil Kumar Tiwari*<sup>1</sup>\*, *Sarang Pande*<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Lakshmi Narain College of Technology and Science, Bhopal, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Marwadi Education Foundations Group of Institutions, Rajkot, India

# ABSTRACT

Gear manufacturing processes selections are a key issue in the optimal design of the industrial product. Gear manufacturing process selection is a difficult and subtle task because of an immense number of the different available gear manufacturing process. Increasing Demand for gears in the different field of applications has forced manufacturers to design and develop gears which compete in the global market and satisfy the user requirements as well as environmental conditions. Various attributes of gear manufacturing process which are generally considered by a consumer are surface roughness, dimensional accuracy, geometrical complexity, production rate, relative cost, and production run so as to provide consumers the desired value and satisfaction level. Currently, numerous gear manufacturing process are available in the market having their own merits and demerits, appropriate methodology for selection is essential. A MCDM-TOPSIS Approach has been used in the paper to select the appropriate gear manufacturing process considering several criteria.

**Keywords:** gear, gear manufacturing processes, multicriteria decision making (MCDM), relative importance matrix, TOPSIS

#### \*Corresponding Author

E-mail: suniltiwari2259@rediffmail.com

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Today we see development in every aspect of gear manufacturing. The important task in the early stage gear manufacturing processes design is to determine gear manufacturing processes configuration. The configuration of gear manufacturing processes includes the decision of material type, the selection of component and the choice of control strategies. Almost every gear manufacturing, there is more than one alternative configuration that would meet the design and material requirement. Also, among the globe because of rapid increase the number of gear and in gear manufacturers, the selection of gear manufacturing processes from different gear manufacturing available processes like milling, hobbing, shaping, pressure

compression moulding, die casting, injection moulding and CNC lathe for particular application and selection of best alternative gear configuration to meet the design and material requirements has become a difficult task for user as well as designer of gear manufacturing processes. The performance is key criteria for the selection of gear material but also there are many other parameters such as reliability, quality, availability, cost etc. are important during the selection of gear manufacturing processes 1. Only few literatures with few considering parameters are available for the selection of gear manufacturing processes so there is a need of particular approach for selection the optimal gear manufacturing processes for the given alternatives [1-4].

# MCDM-TOPSIS APPROACH

For achieving complete customer satisfaction on the basis of cost and reliability, the decision making is an issue which is faced by customers, manufacturers and organisation managers. The multi criteria decision making or MCDM is one state of the art technique which is used to make decisions. Multi criteria decision is the branch of Operations Research which is used for making decisions based on multiple objectives. These models can handle quantitative with qualitative criteria. For Technique MCDM. the for Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) is a widely used. Across all industries, this technique has been proved to be an efficient technique for evaluating, assessing and ranking alternations [5–7]. The basic principle is that the chosen alternative must have the shortest distance from the ideal solution and the farthest distance from the negative ideal solution [8]. Bhagale and Agrawal [9] have used MCDM-TOPSIS approach for the selection of robot including criteria as complexities. features, reliability. availability etc. Adhikari and Roy [10] used MCDM-TOPSIS for selection of hydro turbine blade material. Brar [11] used TOPSIS approach for selection of suitable air conditioning system. A survey of TOPSIS applications has been very systematically done by Behzadian et al. [12]. The procedure is carried out in the following steps as given by Brar [11] and Adhikari and Roy [10].

# **Evaluation Procedure**

А of manufacturing shortlist gear processes alternatives [13] formed as a result of elimination search has to be further filtered to find out the best solution of all. Hence these available out alternatives are ranked in order of preference to select an optimal one.

# **Decision Matrix**

Firstly, all of the information available from the mini database about these satisfying solutions is represented in the matrix form. Such a matrix is termed as decision matrix, 'D'. Each row of the matrix is allocated to one alternative gear material and each column to one attribute. Therefore, an element *dij* of the decision matrix, 'D' represents the value of  $j^{\text{th}}$ attribute in non-normalized form/units, corresponding to  $i^{\text{th}}$  alternative. Thus, if there are '*m*' short-listed alternatives with '*n*' pertinent attributes, the decision matrix is an *m* x *n* matrix.

# **Normalized Matrix**

As the elements in each column of matrix, 'D' have different units and scales, it is necessary to normalize their values. Thus, normalized matrix, 'N' is constructed to have the dimensionless magnitudes of all the attributes of gear manufacturing processes systems on common scale of 0 to 1, which allows the comparison across the attributes. Each element  $n_{ij}$  of the normalized matrix, 'N' can be calculated as

$$nij = \frac{dij}{\sqrt[2]{\sum_{i=1}^{m} dij^2}}$$
(1)

where  $d_{ij}$  is an element of the decision matrix, 'D'.

# **Relative Importance Matrix**

In this step, the relative importance matrix 'R' of size  $n \ge n$  is formed to incorporate the relative importance of the attributes over others for a given application. An element  $r_{ij}$  of matrix 'R' represents the relative importance of the *i*<sup>th</sup> attribute over the *j*<sup>th</sup> attribute and is defined as

$$r_{ij} = \frac{Importance \ of \ ith \ attribute}{importance \ of \ jth \ attribute}$$
(2)

The relative importance of one attribute with respect to another for a given application can be obtained from the user or the group of experts specialized in a

# **Journals** Pub

particular application. The information about the pair-wise comparison of attributes for a particular application is stored in this relative importance matrix 'R', with all its diagonal elements as unity.

# Eigen Value Formulation and Weight Matrix

Due to human inconsistencies, the information stored in the 'R' matrix on a pair-wise basis cannot be used directly. It must be modified into a form that gives the relative weights of all attributes taken together so that the sum of all the weight is equal to unity. Thus, eigen value formulation is used to find weight vector matrix, 'W' and is expressed as

$$RW = \lambda W \tag{3}$$

where,  $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots, w_n\}^T$ , and  $\lambda$  is the eigen values.

Eq. (3) can be expressed

$$(\mathbf{R}-\lambda\mathbf{I})\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{0} \tag{4}$$

To avoid the trivial solution, we have

$$Det (R-\lambda I) = 0$$
 (5)

The solution of Eq. (5) gives the set of 'n' eigen values  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n)$ . The solution of Eq. (4) for the maximum eigen value ' $\lambda_{max}$ ' gives the weight matrix, 'W' and the expression is given as

$$(\mathbf{R}-\lambda\mathbf{I})\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{0} \tag{6}$$

#### Weighted Normalized Decision Matrix

In this step the weighted normalized decision matrix, 'V' is obtained by incorporating the information stored in the weight matrix, 'W' into the normalized matrix, 'N'. A true comparable value of each attribute is given by this weighted normalized matrix and is defined as

$$V = [vi j], \text{ where } vi j = wj x ni j,$$
(7)  
where  $i = 1, 2, ..., m; j = 1, 2, ..., n$ 

Hypothetical Best and Worst Solution

The hypothetical best solution (HBS) and hypothetical worst solution (HWS) are determined by choosing the maximum and minimum values of attributes from 'V' matrix as

HBS =  $A^* = v_{ij} max$ , for benefit attributes (Larger the better type), or

 $= v_{ij} min$ , for cost attributes

(Smaller the better type), and (8)

HWS =  $A^- = v_{ij} min$ , for benefit attributes (Larger the better type), or =  $v_{ij} max$ , for cost attributes

(Smaller the better type) (9) where i = 1,2,...,m and j = 1,2,...,n. Hence,  $A^* = (V^*_1, V^*_2,..., V^*_n)$ 

$$A^{-} = (V_{1}^{-}, V_{2}^{-}, ..., V_{n}^{-})$$
$$A^{-} = (V_{1}^{-}, V_{2}^{-}, ..., V_{n}^{-})$$

# **Determination of Separation Measures**

The TOPSIS procedure is based on the concept that the chosen option should be nearest to the HBS and farthest from the HWS. The separation measure of top ranked gear material ensures that it is closest to the HBS (best possible gear material) and farthest from the HWS (worst possible gear material). If Si\* and Si<sup>-</sup> are separation measures from HBS and HWS, respectively. Then, the separation of each alternative from the HBS is given by Si\* =  $[\sum_{j=1}^{n} (v_{ij} - v_{j} *)2] \frac{1}{2} (i = 1, 2, 3..., m)$  (10)

And separation measure from HWS is given by

$$Si^{-} = [\sum_{j=1}^{n} (vij - vj^{-})2] \frac{1}{2} (i = 1, 2, 3..., m)$$
(11)

# **Determination of Suitability Index**

The suitability index, 'C\*' is a measure of the suitability of the gear manufacturing processes for the chosen application on the basis of attributes considered. It is defined as the relative closeness to the HBS, and is expressed as

 $\hat{\mathbf{C}*} = \hat{\mathbf{S}i} / (\hat{\mathbf{S}i*} + \hat{\mathbf{S}i}), i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  (12)

An air conditioning system with largest C\* is preferable.

#### **Establishing an Order of Preference**

The gear manufacturing processes with highest value of  $C^*$  will be given highest rank, and so on. In this way the preference order for the available alternative gear materials is obtained by arranging them in decreasing order of their corresponding  $C^*$  values.

#### **SELECTION OF GEAR MATERIAL**

Different alternatives available are milling, hobbing, shaping, pressure die casting, compression moulding, injection moulding and CNC lathe. TOPSIS technique is used for selection of gear manufacturing based process upon attributes like surface roughness, dimensional geometrical accuracy, complexity, production rate, relative cost and production run. These attributes for candidate gear manufacturing process are given in following Table 1 [1-4, 14-17]. The selection procedure is carried out as per the steps of MCDM-TOPSIS method.

#### Step I

Table	e <b>1.</b> Attribut	es for candid	ate gear manuj	facturing pro	ocess.	
Attributes	Surface roughness	Dimensional accuracy	Geometrical complexity	Production rate	Relative cost	Production run
Milling	1	5	5	3	3	3
Hobbing	3	5	5	5	5	3
Shaping	2.5	5	5	5	3	1
Pressure die casting	1	5	5	5	5	5
Compression moulding	1	5	2.5	5	3	3
Injection moulding	1	5	5	5	3	3
CNC lathe	5	5	5	2.5	5	1

#### Step II

Decision matrix

	_					~
	1	5	5	3	3	3
	3	5	5	5	5	3
	2.5	5	5	5	3	1
D=	1	5	5	5	5	5
	1	5	2.5	5	3	3
	1	5	5	5	3	3
	5	5	5	2.5	5	1

# Step III

Normalizing matrix

	0.150	0.377	0.4	0.253	0.284	0.377
	0.450	0.377	0.4	0.422	0.474	0.377
	0.375	0.377	0.4	0.422	0.284	0.125
N=	0.150	0.377	0.4	0.422	0.474	0.6299
	0.150	0.377	0.2	0.422	0.284	0.377
	0.150	0.377	0.4	0.422	0.284	0.377
	0.751	0.377	0.4	0.211	0.474	0.125
N=	0.150 0.150 0.150	0.377 0.377 0.377	0.4 0.2 0.4	0.422 0.422 0.422	0.474 0.284 0.284	0.6299 0.377 0.377

#### **Step IV**

IJPE (2017) 1–7 © JournalsPub 2017. All Rights Reserved

# Relative importance matrix

_					~
(1	1				2
1	1	2	1/2.5	3	1
2	1/2	1	1/3	1/3	3
3	2.5	3	1	1/2	2
4	3	3	2	1	1/3
1/2	1	1/3	1/2	3	IJ
	1 1 2 3 4 1/2	3 2.5 4 3	1     1     2       2     1/2     1       3     2.5     3       4     3     3	1     1     2     1/2.5       2     1/2     1     1/3       3     2.5     3     1       4     3     3     2	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Weight for each alternative can be calculated by calculated the square of Eigen vectors corresponding to maximum Eigen value ( $\lambda$  max=8.23) of matrix R, which as equal to

(0.04796, 0.1776, 0.0820, 0.02432, 0.3221, 0.1268)

# Step V

Weight normalized matrix

	/	/					~	
	(	0.0071	0.0669	0.0328	0.0615	0.0914	0.0478	
		0.021	0.0699	0.0318	0.1026	0.1526	0.0478	
		0.0179	0.0669	0.0328	0.1026	0.0914	0.0158	
V =		0.0071	0.669	0.0328	0.1026	0.1526	0.0798	
		0.0071	0.669	0.0164	0.1026	0.0914	0.0478	
		0.0071	0.669	0.0328	0.1026	0.0914	0.0478	
		0.0360	0.0669	0.0328	0.0513	0.1526	0.0158	
							~	/

# Step VI

Hypothetical best and worst solution

 $V^*=$  (0.0071, 0.0669, 0.0164, 0.0513, 0.0914, 0.0158)  $V^-=$  (0.0360, 0.0669, 0.0328, 0.1026, 0.1526, 0.0798)

# Step VII

Determination of separation measure					
S1*=0.037	S1 <sup>-</sup> =0.085				
S2*=0.088	S2 <sup>-</sup> =0.035				
S3*=0.0549	S3 <sup>-</sup> =0.0903				
S4*=0.1036	S4 <sup>-</sup> =0.327				

S5*=0.0604	S5 <sup>-</sup> =0.0766
S6*=0.0626	S6 <sup>-</sup> =0.0749
S7*=0.0696	S7 <sup>-</sup> =0.0820

# **Step VIII**

Determination of suitability index C1\*=0.6977 C2\*=0.286 C3\*=0.6219 C4\*=0.7597 C5\*=0.6991 C6\*=0.5447 C7\*=0.5447

# Step IX

Ranking of alternatives

Alternatives	Ranking
Pressure die casting	1
Compression moulding	2
Milling	3
Shaping	4
Injection moulding	5
CNC lathe	5
Hobbing	6

# **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

available alternatives Among the considering various criteria, pressure die casting gear manufacturing process has been found to be most preferable choice among the seven gear manufacturing process alternatives to process nylon 6 material. The TOPSIS method, at the first stage, consists of the composition of the decision matrix with the values of attributes (criteria) like surface roughness, dimensional accuracy, geometrical complexity, production rate, relative cost and production run. Based on the above matrix, the normalised decision matrix is constructed. Weighted normalised decision matrix has been obtained by using the normalised decision matrix and weights assigned to criteria. The used methodology will be very useful to find candidate material for various applications and also for other field of applications.

# REFERENCES

- [1] HowStuffWorks, 'Transmission Basics', Norton, 2004.
- [2] McGraw Hill Encyclopedia of Science and Technology. *Gear*. 10th Edn., New York: McGraw Hill Book, Co.: 2007.
- [3] American National Standards Institute. *Gear Nomenclature, Definition of Term with Symbols.* ANSI/AGMA 1012-F90 edition, American Gear Manufactures Association: 2005. ISBN 978-1-55589-846-5.

- [4] S. Canfield. Gear Types, Dynamics of Machinery. Tennessee Tech University, Department of Mechanical Engineering, 1997, ME 362 lecture notes.
- [5] A.K. Tripathi, S. Dubey, V.K. Pandey, S.K. Tiwari. Selection of refrigerant for air conditioning system using MCDM-TOPSIS approach, *IJETAE*. 2015; 5(2): 384– 8p.
- [6] S.M. Sapuan, I.M. Mujtaba, C.S. Wright. State of the art review of engineering material selection method, *Multidiscipl Model Mater Struct.* 2009; 5(3): 263–8p.
- [7] R.T. Durai, B.J. Prabhakaran, C. Babu, V.P. Agrawal. Optimum selection of a composite product using MADM approach, *Mater Manuf Process.* 2006; 21(8): 883– 91p.
- [8] S.J. Chen, C.L. Hwang. Fuzzy Multiple Attribute Decision Making Methods and Applications. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1992.
- [9] P.P. Bhagale, V.P. Agrawal. Attribute based specification, comparison and selection of a robot, *Mech Machine Theory*. 2004.
- [10] P. Adhikari, P.K. Roy. Selection of hydro-turbine blade material: application of fuzzy logic (MCDA), *Int J Eng Res Appl.* 2013; 3(1): 426– 30p.
- [11] J.S. Brar. Study, modelling, analysis, evaluation, selection and performance improvements of air conditioning system, *M. Tech Thesis*. Thapar University, Patiala, 2012.
- [12] M. Behzadian, S.K. Otaghsara, M. Yazdani, J. Ignatius. A state of the art survey of TOPSIS applications, *Expert Syst Appl.* 2012; 39(17): 13051–69p.
- [13] C.X. Zhang, J. Hu. Fuzzy multi criteria decision making for selection of schemes on cooling and heating source, In: *Seventh International Conference on Fuzzy Systems and*

# **Journals** Pub

*Knowledge Discovery.* Yantai, Jhangtong, 2010, 876–8p.

- [14] M.F. Ashby. Materials Selection in Mechanical Desin. Oxford: Pergamon Press; 1992.
- [15] M.F. Ashby. On the engineering properties of materials, *Acta Metal*. 1989; 37: 1273p.
- [16] M.F. Ashby. Materials and shape, Acta Metallurb. 1991; 39: 1025p.
- [17] D. Cebon, M.F. Ashby. Computerbased materials selection for mechanical design, In: *Computerization and Networking of Materials Databases*. ASTM STP 1140, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1992.