Increasing an Overall Equipment Effectiveness Visibility and Analyzing in a Manufacturing Industry

K.S. Arun^{*}, B.K. Kanchan, G. Prabha, D. Rajenthirakumar PSG College of Technology, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

ABSTRACT

This paper tries to evaluate the OEE of machines in a valve manufacturing and identifies the main loss elements of the process. An application had been created for continuous data collection. OEE data on machine performance is an initial key point to understand the equipment losses and establish improvement to eliminate them. The results are compared with world class level. Result of the research demonstrates that although the OEE coefficient of the investigated process is not meeting the world class level, however with the continuous improvement, performance of the machine can be acceptable.

Keywords: lean manufacturing, overall equipment effectiveness, spread sheet

*Corresponding Author

E-mail: arunaakash678@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

OEE is an effective tool to benchmark, analyze, and improve your production process. The OEE tool gives you the ability to measure your machines for productivity improvements. OEE not only measures these inefficiencies but groups them into three categories to help you analyze the machine and have a better understanding of the manufacturing process.

Here is a list of common concerns of many manufacturing companies:

- Reducing Bottlenecks Increase Throughput
- Implementing Machine Operator Training
- Reducing Machine Setup Time
- Improving Machine Reliability Implement Preventive Maintenance
- Maximizing Optimal Run Rates and Capacity
- Eliminating Down Time Provide Down Time Reason Codes.

Putting this tool in place to address these concerns and improve the manufacturing process can be very time consuming and costly. To reduce that cost, Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE) Spread sheet has been designed that is used to help with this process. The spread sheet allows the whole plant to be involved in the process of improving OEE. From the machine operator, production manager to plant manager, this production the monitoring information can help everyone to come to a solution. The bottom line for all employees should be to reduce production losses and build profitable products. The spread sheet provides managers machine production and operators with the necessary real time information to make crucial decisions about the effectiveness of the production process.

Need for Analysis

The company is facing a low production rate and high lead time in comparison to its competitors. Estimation shows that industry is having Work In Progress Inventory (WIP) of 7 days and Rework of 10%.

Objectives

The aim of this project is to a spread sheet of OEE in valve manufacturing industry. Since OEE implementation can take much higher implementation time. That's why the scope of this project is to achieve Milestones in path of OEE implementation:

- To create a spread sheet for overall equipment effectiveness analysis to increase the visibility of OEE within 1 minute.
- To reduce minor stoppages and work in progress to 5% from its present value.
- To reduce the part rejection to 2%.

LITERATURE SURVEY

The OEE improvement was tried in different environment and with different factors taken. But most of them give priority for quality, on time delivery and organizational ability. The different tools and techniques used by researchers to implement OEE are Kanban, SMED, DMAIC, Markov process, Gravity flow rank method, Hypothesis testing, Interview method and Software development.

The OEE implementation is closely related with performance of machine but the researchers paid very less attention on how much time they are taking for OEE analysis.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The methodology started with problem identification i.e. low OEE in our case. After identification, product model need to sort out for carrying our study. In the case company, after selecting part model, current performance of those machines are tabulated and evaluated against its deciding factors for determining key product. The current status provides a way of thinking to improve in future.

The brainstorming session was conducted for ideas generation in context of OEE visibility and then sorted out based on rankings. The proposal was implemented along with CIR plan and plotted in graphs for each strategy. The complete cycle of methodology is plotted in Figures 1 and 2, respectively.^[7–15]



Fig. 1. Flow diagram of research methodology.



Fig. 2. Detailed methodology.

Developing an OEE Spread Sheet

The OEE implementation needs a model part on which methodology applies and

evaluated to track improvement. The selection is based on following strategies which is shown in Figure 3.



Fig. 3. Methodology for OEE Spread sheet.

Poor OEE: Cause and Effect Diagram

The implementation starts with identification of Cause and Effect since as a manufacturer we need to satisfy him

mostly. The data is taken from production department and main causes are identified which is shown in Figure 4.



Fig. 4. Poor OEE cause and effect diagram.

Main Causes for Poor OEE Visibility

Main causes are for poor OEE visibility is identified by conducting brain storming session, having all department managers and assistant managers and solution had been formed as improper data, Data collecting time, Insufficient data, More types of losses or unnoticed, Retrieving of Previous data is difficult and Maintaining of data is in Paper.

Brainstorming-Diversion Phase for Poor OEE Visibility

As a diversion phase ideas where groups by the generated for the improvement of OEE visibility and fallowing solutions where finalized as Spread sheet based OEE software, Excel based OEE software, Computer installation, Paper method, OEE Software

Conversion Phase in Brainstorming

As a conversion phase brainstorming is carried and ranking is given for the solutions and best solutions is formed. Ranking is given based on the following parameters, potential cost benefit (1 - very)low saving, 4 - minor saving; 7 - majorsaving; 10 - very high saving), cost of development (1 – very high cost; 4 – major cost: 7 – affordable cost: 10 – minimum cost), time required for implementation (1 - very long time; 4 - high time; 7 - less time; 10 – very short time), probability of removal (1 - no chance; 4 - low chance; 7)- high chance; 10 - excellent chance), state of art (technology used) (1 - very high technology; 4 – imported from other countries; 7 -locally available; 10 -off the shelf) and ranking is given as shown below in Table 1.

Proposals	Potential cost Benefit	Cost of development	Time required for implementation	Probability of implementation	State of art	Total Score
Application based OEE software	6	6	7	9	9	37
Excel based OEE software	6	7	5	7	6	31
Computer installation	6	7	4	7	6	30
Paper method	3	4	5	6	5	23
OEE Software	6	1	3	7	3	20

Table 1. Ranking method (conversion phase).

Idea Finalized

As a result of the brain storming session, we came to an idea of creating an overall equipment effectiveness (OEE) spread sheet which will help to improve machine performance and utilization and database will be used to analyze the OEE at regular interval (month, week, day).

Planned Design for OEE

A design is developed before creating the spread sheet.

Based on this plan whole OEE system will be operating which is shown in Table 2.

Tuble 2. OLL design.									
No. of days over	1	SHIFT-1		1 - Equipment failure	1	.5	1		
	25	T .	0	2 - Setup & Adjust.			0		
No. of days Remaining	25	lime	Qty	3 - Tool & Jig change - Scheduled	1	.0	0		
Worked time/day		460		4 - Start-up			0		
Re Line scheduled cleaning		15		5 - Minor stoppage			0		
ou- Line scheduled cleaning		15		6 - Speed loss			0		
8b-Line scheduled p.m				7 - Defect loss	3	0	1		
8c-Line scheduled meeting				9a-Awaiting instruction					
				9b-Awaiting material(from previous cell)					
8d-Line development work time				9c-Awaiting material (from vendor)					
8e-Line planned stop (No reg.)				9d-Awaiting men					
				9e-Want of Spares / hand tools					
8 - Total Line shut down losses		15		9f-Air pressure loss					
Loaded time		445		9g-Power failure					
Quer all call offectiveness		07%		9h-A/c failure					
Over all cell effectiveness		91%	-	9i-Accident					
Line operating time		420		9 - Total Management loss	(0	0		
Ditch time		30.00		12 - Logistics loss			0		
		30.00		3a-Tool & jig change loss-due to tool					
Line actual pitch time		35.00		3b-Tool & jig change loss-due to fixture					
Qtv.to be produced			15	13b-M&A loss-because of M/C					
\				13d-M&A loss-standard					
Plan for the day(PPC)			15	13 - Measure & Adjustment loss total	(0	0		
Actual produced			12	10 - Operating motion loss	6	0	2		
			16	11 - Line organasation loss					
Quantity lost / day			3	Total Qty. lost due to losses			3		

Table 2. OEE design.

OEE Spread Sheet

A spread sheet had been created using Visual Studios (.net) as front end and a SQL data base as back end and results will be converted to Microsoft Excel Format for the easy use. It is shown below in Figures 5–8.

MACHINE DETAILS DEE CALCULATION REPORTING EMPLOYEE NAME BRAJESH Line operating time 383 9b-Availing material (from previous cell) 9 SHIFT 1 Pitch time 30 9c-Availing material (from vendor) 0 Pre calculation DATE Wednesday. April 20,2016 Line actual pitch time 34 9d-Availing men 0 Pre calculation MACHINE NAME April 20,2016 Line actual pitch time 34 9d-Availing men 0 Pre calculation Worked time / day 460 Actual produced 13 9e-Want of Spares / hand tools 0 Pre calculation Ba- Line scheduled cleaning 13 Og-Availing men 0 0 Pre calculation Bb- Line scheduled p.m 12 Plan for the day(PPC) 13 9f-Aur pressure loss 0 0 2 9g-Accident 0 0 2 9g-Accident 0 0 1 1 Total Management Loss 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 - Logistics loss 0 1 2 - Logistics loss 0 1 2 - Logistics loss 0	🖳 Form1					
EMPLOYEE NAME BRAJESH Line operating time 363 9b-Awaiting material (from previous coll) 9 SHIFT 1 Pitch time 303 9c-Awaiting material (from vendor) 0 Pre colculation DATE Wednesday. April 20,2016 Line actual pitch time 34 9d-Awaiting material (from vendor) 0 Pre colculation DATE Wednesday. April 20,2016 Line actual pitch time 34 9d-Awaiting material (from vendor) 0 Pre colculation MACHINE NAME April 20,2016 Line actual pitch time 34 9d-Awaiting material (from vendor) 0 Pre colculation MACHINE NAME April 20,2016 Us to be produced 13 9e-Want of Spares / hand tools 0 0 MACHINE NAME April 20,2016 460 Actual produced 11 9g-Power failure 0 0 2 0 2 2 9h-A/c failure 0 2 2 9h-A/c failure 0 2 2 9h-A/c failure 0 2 2 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	MACHINE DETAILS OEE CALCULATION	REPORTING				
EMPLOYEE NAME BFAJESH Line operating time 383 9b-Awaiting material (from versious cell) 9 SHIFT Image: Comparison of the second						
SHIFT 1 Pitch time 30 9c-Awaiting material (from vendor) 0 Pre calculation DATE Wednesday. April 20.2016 Line actual pitch time 34 9d-Awaiting man 0 DATE Wednesday. April 20.2016 Line actual pitch time 34 9d-Awaiting man 0 MACHINE NAME APING LCP Dift to be produced 13 9c-Await of Spares / hand tools 0 Worked time / day 460 Actual produced 11 9g-Power failure 0 1 Set Line scheduled cleaning 13 Ouentity lost / day 2 9h-A/c failure 0 1 8b- Line scheduled p.m 12 Set Line scheduled meeting 14 Total Management Loss 9 9 8ct Line scheduled meeting 14 The (MINS) QUANTITY 12 - Logistics loss 0 2 2 9 13e-Tool jig change loss-due to tool 0 1 1 13e-Tool jig change loss-due to tool 0 1 14 12 - Logistics loss 0 1 14 13e-Tool jig change loss-due to fixture 0 1 13e-Tool jig change loss-due to fixture 0 1 13e	EMPLOYEE NAME BRAJESH	~	Line operating time	383	9b-Awaiting material(from previous cell	9
DATE Wednesday. April 20.2016 Line actual pitch time 34 9d-Awaiting men 0 MACHINE NAME LAPING LCP Oty.to be produced 13 9e-Want of Spares / hand tools 0 Worked time / day 460 Actual produced 13 9f-Air pressure loss 0 Ber- Line scheduled cleaning 13 Ouantity lost / day 2 9h-A/c failure 0 Bbr- Line scheduled p.m 12 Ouantity lost / day 2 9h-A/c failure 0 Bc- Line scheduled meeting 14 TIME (MINS) QUANTITY Total Management Loss 9 Bd- Line development work time 11 TIME (MINS) QUANTITY 0 12 - Logistics loss 0 Ber Line Shutdown Loss 50 2 - Setup Adjust. 0 13e-Tool jig change loss-due to tool 0 Total Line Shutdown Loss 50 3 - Tool Jig change 3 13b-Tool jig change loss-due to fature 0 Loaded time 4 - Startup losses 5 13e-MA loss-because of M/C 0 0 Bo- Minor stoppage 9 13c-MA loss-standard 0 0 0 0	SHIFT 1 ~		Pitch time	30	9c-Awaiting material (from vendor)	0 Pre calculation
Qty to be produced 13 9e-Want of Spares / hand tools 0 MACHINE NAME APING LCP Plan for the day(PPC) 13 9f-Air pressure loss 0 Worked time / day 460 Actual produced 11 9g-Power failure 0 8a - Line scheduled cleaning 13 Quantity lost / day 2 9h-A/c failure 0 8b - Line scheduled p.m 12 9i-Accident 0 0 0 8c - Line scheduled meeting 14 TME (MINS) QUANTITY 0 0 0 8c - Line planned stop (No req.) 0 1 - Equipment failure 1 12 - Logistics loss 0 0 8e - Line planned stop (No req.) 0 1 - Equipment failure 1 12 - Logistics loss 0 0 8e - Line planned stop (No req.) 0 1 - Equipment gailure 1 12 - Logistics loss 0 0 10aded time 410 3 - Tool Jig change 3 13 - Tool jig change loss-due to tool 0 0 10aded time 4 - Startup losses 5 13 - Tool jig change loss-due to fadure 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	DATE Wednesday, April 20,20	16 🔍 🗸	Line actual pitch time	34	9d-Awaiting men	0
Model Intel Texture LAPING LCP Plan for the day(PPC) 13 9f-Air pressure loss 0 Worked time / day 460 Actual produced 11 9g-Power failure 0 0 8a - Line scheduled cleaning 13 Quantity lost / day 2 9h-A/c failure 0 0 8b - Line scheduled p.m 12 9i-Accident 0 0 0 0 8c - Line scheduled meeting 14 TIME (MINS) QUANTITY 0 0 0 0 8d - Line development work time 11 TIME (MINS) QUANTITY 0 0 0 0 8e - Line planned stop (No req.) 0 1 - Equipment failure[1 12 - Logistics loss 0 0 1oaded time 410 4 - Startup losses 5 13a - Tool jig change loss-due to fixture 0 0 5 - Minor stoppage 9 13c-MA loss-because of M/C 0 0 0 0 0 6 - Speed loss 0 13d-MA loss-standard 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			Qty.to be produced	13	9e-Want of Spares / hand tools	0
Actual produced 11 gg-Power failure 0 8a - Line scheduled cleaning 13 Quantity lost / day 2 9h-A/c failure 0 8b - Line scheduled p.m 12 9i-Accident 0 0 8c - Line scheduled meeting 14 Total Management Loss 9 8d - Line development work time 11 TIME (MINS) QUANTITY 0 8e - Line planned stop (No req.) 0 1 - Equipment failure 1 12 - Logistics loss 0 7 Total Line Shutdown Loss 50 2 - Setup Adjust. 0 13a-Tool jig change loss-due to tool 0 410 4 - Startup losses 5 13b-Tool jig change loss-due to fxture 0 0 5 - Minor stoppage 9 13c-MA loss-standard 0 0	Worked time / day	460	Plan for the day(PPC)	13	9f-Air pressure loss	0
Obser Line Scheduled dealing I Ouanity lost / day 2 9h-A/c failure 0 8b- Line scheduled p.m 12 9i-Accident 0 8c- Line scheduled meeting 14 9i-Accident 0 8d- Line development work time 11 TIME (MINS) QUANTITY 8e- Line planned stop (No req.) 0 1 - Equipment failure 12 - Logistics loss 0 2 - Setup Adjust. 0 12 - Logistics loss 0 0 1 - Equipment failure 13e-Tool jig change loss-due to tool 0 3 - Tool Jig change 3 13b-Tool jig change loss-due to foture 0 410 4- Startup losses 5 13c-MA loss-because of M/C 0 6 - Speed loss 0 13d-MA loss-standard 0	Se Line scheduled elegating	13	Actual produced	11	9g-Power failure	0
ob- Line Scheduled p.m IZ 9i-Accident 0 8c- Line scheduled meeting 14 Total Management Loss 9 8d- Line development work time 11 TIME (MINS) QUANTITY Total Management Loss 9 8e- Line planned stop (No req.) 0 1 - Equipment failure[1 12 - Logistics loss 0 0 Total Line Shutdown Loss 50 2 - Setup Adjust. 0 13e-Tool jig change loss-due to tool 0 Ioaded time 410 4 - Startup losses 5 13b-Tool jig change loss-due to fixture 0 5 - Minor stoppage 9 13c-MA loss-because of M/C 0 0 6 - Speed loss 0 13d-MA loss-standard 0 0	Shi Line scheduled e m	10	Quantity lost / day	2	9h-A/c failure	0
Sc-Line scheduled meeting Ital Total Management Loss 9 8d-Line development work time 11 TIME (MINS) QUANTITY 9 8e-Line planned stop (No req.) 0 1 - Equipment failure [1 12 - Logistics loss 0 1 50 2 - Setup Adjust. 0 13a-Tool jig change loss-due to tool 0 410 4 - Startup losses 5 13b-Tool jig change loss-due to fixture 0 5 - Minor stoppage 9 13c-MA loss-because of M/C 0 6 - Speed loss 0 13d-MA loss-standard 0	ob- Line scheduled p.m	12			9i-Accident	0
8d-Line development work time I1 TIME (MINS) QUANTITY 8e-Line planned stop (No req.) 0 1 - Equipment failure 1 12 - Logistics loss 0 Total Line Shutdown Loss 50 3 - Tool Jig change 3 13a-Tool Jig change loss-due to tool 0 Ioaded time 410 4 - Startup losses 5 13b-Tool Jig change loss-due to fixture 0 5 - Minor stoppage 9 13c-MA loss-because of M/C 0 6 - Speed loss 0 13d-MA loss-standard 0	8c- Line scheduled meeting	14			Total Management Loss	9
8e- Line planned stop (No req.) 0 1 - Equipment failure 1 12 - Logistics loss 0 Total Line Shutdown Loss 50 3 - Tool Jig change 3 13a-Tool jig change loss-due to tool 0 410 4 - Startup losses 5 13b-Tool jig change loss-due to fixture 0 5 - Minor stoppage 9 13c-MA loss-because of M/C 0 6 - Speed loss 0 13d-MA loss-standard 0	8d- Line development work time	11	TIME (MIN	S) QUANTITY		
Total Line Shutdown Loss 50 2 - Setup Adjust. 0 13a-Tool jig change loss-due to tool 0 Joaded time 410 3 - Tool Jig change 3 13b-Tool jig change loss-due to fixture 0 Joaded time 4 - Startup losses 5 13c-MA loss-because of M/C 0 6 - Speed loss 0 13d-MA loss-standard 0	8e- Line planned stop (No req.)	0 1 -	Equipment failure		12 - Logistics loss	0
410 3 - Tool Jig change 3 410 13b-Tool jig change loss-due to fixture 0 4 - Startup losses 5 5 - Minor stoppage 9 13c-MA loss-because of M/C 0 6 - Speed loss 0	Total Line Shutdown Loss	50	Setup Adjust.		13a-Tool jig change loss-due to tool	0
4 - Startup losses 5 5 - Minor stoppage 9 13c-MA loss-because of M/C 0 6 - Speed loss 0 13d-MA loss-standard 0	loaded time	410 3 -	Tool Jig change 3		13b-Tool jig change loss-due to fixture	0
5 - Minor stoppage 9 13c-MA loss-because of M/C 0 6 - Speed loss 0 13d-MA loss-standard 0		4 -	Startup losses 5			
6 - Speed loss 0 13d-MA loss-standard 0		5 -	Minor stoppage 9		13c-MA loss-because of M/C	0
		6 -	Speed loss 0		13d-MA loss-standard	0
7 - Defect loss 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		7 -	Defect loss 0	0	Measure Adjustment loss total	0
9a-Awaiting instructid Total Losses 2/		9a	-Awaiting instructic		Total Losses	21

Fig. 5. Spread sheet front page.



Fig. 6. Spread sheet result page.

		ENAME	DTE	YR	MNTH	SHIFT	WRKTIME	88	8B	80	8D	
	SEAT MIG	SATHEESH	2	2015	11	1	460	010	2	5	3	
	SEAT MIG	SATHEESH	3	2015	11	1	460	010	2	5	5	
	SEAT MIG	SATHEESH	4	2015	11	1	460	010	05	5	5	
	SEAT MIG	SATHEESH	5	2015	11	1	460	010	05	5	5	
	SEAT MIG	SATHEESH	6	2015	11	1	460	010	05	5	5	
	SEAT MIG	SATHEESH	9	2015	11	1	460	010	05	5	5	
	SEAT MIG	SATHEESH	10	2015	11	1	460	010	05	5	5	
	SEAT MIG	SATHEESH	11	2015	11	1	460	010	05	5	5	
	SEAT MIG	SATHEESH	12	2015	11	1	460	010	05	5	5	
	SEAT MIG	SATHEESH	12	2015	11	1	460	010	05	5	5	
	SEAT MIG	SATHEESH	13	2015	11	1	460	010	05	5	5	
*												

Fig. 7. Spread sheet data source page.

This spread sheet consists of all the data that are required for OEE analysis and data can be retrieved as shown in Figure 7 and can be converted into Microsoft excel as shown below in Figure 8.

X	i n - (*	- -				we	dge mig wi	th graph - M	icrosoft Exc	el (Product a	Activation F	ailed)				-	0	×
F	ile Hon	ne Insert	Page Layo	ut Formul	as Data	Review	View Add	-Ins Team								_	∝ (?) –	e X
Pa	Cut	at Painter	alibri B <i>I</i> <u>U</u> -	• 11 • ⊡ • <u>3</u> ont	A' A' =		≫ - 📑 i≢ t≢ 🔤 Alignment	Wrap Text Merge & Cent	Genera ter + \$ +	al % • . Number	Conc Form	litional Forma atting - Table Styles	t as Cell • • Styles •	Insert Del	ete Format	∑ AutoSum Fill * Clear * E	Sort & F Filter * S	Find & Select +
	AM19	• (f.	-														*
	AH	AI	AJ	AK	AL	AM	AN	AO	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT	AU	AV	AW	AX	-
1	13B	13C	13D	13	11	lotime	ptime	aptime	tobeprodu	ppc	actproduc	qtylost	availability	performac	quality	oee		
2	0	0	0	0	120	315	50	52	8	8	6	2	/2.41	96.15	66.67	46.42		
3	0	0	0	0	103	330	50	66	8	6	5	1	76.21	/5./6	80	46.19		
4	0	0	0	0	103	327	50	65	8	6	5	1	76.05	76.92	80	46.8		
5	0	0	0	0	95	335	50	67	8	5	5	0	//.91	72.13	100	40.51		
5	0	0	0	0	85	345	50	67	8	5	5	0	80.23	74.63	100	59.88		
/	0	0	0	0	60	345	50	69	8	/	5	2	80.23	72.40	100	58.14		
8	0	0	0	0	08	302	50	60	8	/	0	1	84.19	81.11	100	70.10		
9	0	0	0	0	70	300	30	97	0	0	0	2	03.72	03.33	100	70.52		=
10	0	0	0	0	80	250	05	07	5	4	4	0	01.4	97.7	100	79.32		
11	0	0	0	0	76	254	05	07	5	4	4	1	01 22	95.7	100	79.52		
12	0	0	0	0	70	504	60	00	5	5	4	1	02.33	50.39	100	15.32		+
14	0	0	0	0	87 72727	343	59 54545	69 81818	70	65	55	0 909091	70 33380	83 68106	87 24242	62 0384		
15	0		0	losses	88	545	55.54545	55.01010	15	05		5.505051	. 5.55565	55.00100	57.27242	52.0504		+
16				available t	420													
17				available (420													
10																		+

Fig. 8. Converted into MS excel.

OEE Data Analysis

OEE data are generated using OEE spread sheet. And results are analyzed by the

fallowing steps. Critical Product is selected and data are analyzed. And



analyze which is shown in Figure 9.



Fig. 9. Flow diagram for OEE data analysis.

Model Product Selection

Critical product is selected based on the following points, Two types of valves are produced 1.Forged steel and 2.Casted Steel, and Eighteen sizes of valves are produced, 3/8 - 3 Forged Steel, 4 - 24 Casted Steel. Two products are selected for better analysis 1.720 Types of valves are manufactured in Casted and forged Steel), 3/4 inch bonnetless valves (28% of overall Forged Steel Valves), 14 inch Casted Valves (36% of overall Casted Steel Valves).

Product 1 (14" Valve)

To calculate the machine OEE process of valve is drawn and OEE data for every machine is taken for two week. From that data Welding Machine is having Less OEE when compared to other machines, which leads to fall on overall OEE.

Valve Description

Velan pressure seal flexible wedge gate valves (Figure 11) are ideal for high pressure steam (main steam isolation), liquid (feed water isolation), catalytic reformers, hydrocrackers and other tough services. Velan's proven pressure seal design. Velan flexible wedge offers superior tightness of seats and freedom from sticking. Wedge guiding minimizes seat rubbing and scuffing resulting in long cycle life. Designed to ASME B16.34. Body made of superior strength forgings and optional cast steel. Velan stem seal offers tight seal with little to no maintenance over long periods of time. Optional live-loading of packing, nonrotating stem.

OEE Data of 14" Valve

OEE of welding machine is generated and graphs are shown in the Figure 10 for the same. Availability = 79%, performance = 83%, quality = 87%, OEE = 62%.







Fig. 11. 14'' Bonnet valve.

Graphs were drawn for the major losses occurred in the machine in Figures 11–14, respectively which consists of losses like stoppages occurred in machine (Figure 11), management losses like availability of man, tools, power, etc. (Figure 12), time taken more than the allowed time to setting up the work piece (Figure 13), and machine speed losses due to improper alignment and unskilled labor (Figure 14) where explained.



Fig. 12. Minor stoppages per day.



Fig. 13. Management losses per day.



Fig. 14. Setup time per day.



Fig. 15. Speed losses per day.

Proposals Generated

Instead of manual welding, it can be done in special purpose machine which is available in the industry itself (Figure 15). It can help in reducing the need of skilled welder due to which more management losses are occurred,

In reducing increased quality and surface finish of welding. Setup time is only 6 minutes and processing time is 25 minutes (Figure 16).

COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS OF PROPOSAL

Manual Welding Process/Shift Welding rods = 1600 INR Labor required= 2 * 500 Maintenance = 25 mins Produced 1 piece = 1 h Rejects / reworks = 20% Working cost = 380 INR Numbers can be produced = 8

Robotic Arm Welding/Shift

Welding rods = 3800 INR Labor required= 1 * 390 Maintenance = 20 minutes Produced 1 piece = 20 minutes Rejects/reworks = 1% Working cost = 2880 INR Numbers can be Produced = 16 Cost analysis

- Spent cost = manual welding = 2100 + 1000 + 380 = 3480 INR (3480 + (3480 * 0.2)/8) = 522 INR/Piece
- Spent cost = robotic arm welding = 5000 + 390 + 2080 = INR rupees (7470 + (7470 * 0.01)/16 = 471 INR



Fig. 16. Manual and robotic arm welding.





3/4" Bonnetless Valve

One-piece, forged, bonnet less globe valves (Figure 16) have been proven in critical, tough service spread sheets around the world for over 30 years. This includes high pressure drop, steam blow down, steam injection, fast acting isolation, 6000 psi (414 bar) gas and super-heated steam at 1100°F (593°C). They offer to the user an outstanding, long-lasting, high performance valve for high-pressure and tough spread sheets (Figure 18).



Fig. 18. 3/4" bonnetless valve.

Reason for Choosing 3/4 Inch Bonnetless Valve 3/4 Inch bonnetless valve is selected based on the total production in Table 3 (Figure 19).

Table 3.	Valve	production.
----------	-------	-------------

	3/4 Inch valve/year production										
Year	Units	Total production	Rework	% of rework							
2013	7645	27,106	918	12.00							
2014	2539	12,589	351	13.82							
2015	7534	30,219	781	10.03							

Time Calculation and Value Stream Mapping

Time calculation had been done for 3/4" bonnetless valve with operator rating 0.8

and value stream is drawn for the same (Table 4).

3/4" Bonnet Less Valve timing per unit in minutes											
Process	operators	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3	Trial 4	Trial 5	Avg				
Disc machining(turning)	2	15	15	16	14	15	15				
Body machining (vmc)	1	40	40	40	40	40	40				
(Hmc)	1	50	50	50	50	50	50				
Seat weld (Robot)	1	5	5	5	5	5	5				
Seat testing (robot)	1	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5				
Parkerizing (phosphating)	2	56	56	56	56	56	56				
Assembly 1	2	6	7	8	6	7	6.8				
Assembly 2	2	5	6	5	5	7	5.6				
Testing	1	5	6	4	7	6	5.6				
Inspection	1	2	2	1	2	1	1.6				
Packing	2	30	30	25	25	27	27.4				
Dispatch	3	25	23	24	30	25	25.4				
Total Average Time		20.04	20.13	19.63	20.13	20.04	19.99				

Table 4. Time calculation.



Fig. 19. Current state mapping of 3/4" valve manufacturing.

Analyzing of Current State Identification of Non-value Adding Activities

- By applying value stream mapping to practice (Figure 19), I realized the benefits the tool brought to my work. I identified embedded waste, which had been neglected before in the working process.
- The actual value added time is 52.2 h and the lead time is 464 h. It is clear that the ratio of value added time to lead time is very less. There is an Inventory of 7 days between Turning, Parkerizing and Assembly.
- More bottlenecks are there in the process

Identification of Maximum Lead Time

• The more lead time is found in vertical machining (40 min/unit) and horizontal machining centers (50 min/min) need

to be reduced by any means to improve the productivity.^[6,7]

Takt Time

The lead time in many machines are very higher than the takt time of the product which is shown in figure. Lead time should be reduced to achieve takt time for customer timely delivery.

Proposals for Reducing Reworks

- Bottle necks can be reduced by providing multi machines at horizontal and vertical machining center
- More reworks are occurred in WIP which is before Parkerizing. It can be moved after Parkerizing. To avoid rusting of machined parts
- It also helps in reducing the reworking time of the products

RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

- OEE visibility has been increased from 23 to 1.5 minutes
- Rework had been reduced to 2% by changing work in process
- OEE had been improved from 62% to 88% by implementing this above processes which is shown in Figure 20.





Fig. 20. OEE of proposed model.

CONCLUSION

Identification of losses is a main problem in a manufacturing industry. OEE will help in monitoring the machine and thus machine utilization can be improved. It also helps in identify the losses occurring in industry and it can be reduced through lean techniques. Identification of losses is a main problem in a manufacturing industry.

REFERENCE

- Jain A., Bhatti R., Deep H.S., et al. Implementation of PM for enhancing OEE of small scale industry, *Int J IT*, *Eng Appl Sci Res.* 2012; 1(1).
- [2] Godfrey P. Overall equipment effectiveness, *Manuf Eng.* 2002; 81(3): 109–12p.
- [3] Afefy I.H. Implementation of total productive maintenance and overall equipment effectiveness evaluation, *Int J Mech Mechatron Eng.* 2013; 13.
- [4] Dal B., Tugwell P., Greatbanks R. Overall equipment effectiveness as a measure of operational improvement a practical analysis, *Int J Operat Prod Manage*. 2000; 20(12): 1488– 502p.
- [5] Das D. Total productive maintenance (TPM): a comprehensive tool for achieving excellence in operations system – a case study, *Ind Eng J*. 2001; 30(10): 15p.
- [6] Cooke F.L. Implementing TPM in plant maintenance: some organizational barriers, *Int J Qual Reliabil Manage*. 2000; 17(9): 1003– 16p.

- [7] Pomorski T.R. *Total Productive Maintenance (TPM) Concepts and Literature Review.* Brooks Automation, Inc.; 2004.
- [8] Wakjira M.W., Singh A.P. Total productive maintenance: a case study in manufacturing, *Global J Res Eng Ind Eng.* 2012; 12.
- [9] Gupta A.K., Garg R.K. OEE improvement by TPM implementation: a case study, *Int J IT, Eng Appl Sci Res.* 2012; 1.
- [10] Gera G., Saini G., Kumar R., et al. Improvement of operational efficiency of equipment through TPM: a case study, *Int J Ind Eng Res Dev.* 2012; 3.
- [11] Muruganantham V.R., Govindha Raj
 K., Sakthimurugan D., Process
 planning through value stream
 mapping in foundry, India, *IJIRSET*.
 2014.
- [12] Rohac T., Januska M. Value stream mapping demonstration on real case study, *DAAAM Symposium*. Chezch Republic, 2014.
- [13] Tyagi S., Choudhary A., Cai X., et al. Value Stream Mapping to reduce the Lead TIme of a product development Process. USA.
- [14] Taylor L.J. A Simulation Study of WIP Inventory Drive Systems and Their Effect on Production Measurements. University of Texas: Texas.
- [15] Narayanan M. Hybrid flow strategies for high variety low volume manufacturing facilities to implement flow and pull, *Master's Theses*. University of Kentucky.